





Synthetic Studies on Azadirachtin: Construction of the Highly Functionalized Decalin Moiety of Azadirachtin

Jun Ishihara, Yuuko Yamamoto, Naoki Kanoh, and Akio Murai*

Division of Chemistry, Graduate School of Science, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan

Received 25 March 1999; revised 8 April 1999; accepted 9 April 1999

Abstract

Construction of the left segment of azadirachtin in naturally occurring enantiomer is described. The key reaction is an intramolecular Diels-Alder reaction, which was performed under thermal conditions to afford the highly functionalized decalin compounds selectively. © 1999 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: azadirachtin; decalin; Diels-Alder reaction

In the course of the search for the secondary metabolites of plants, various biologically active compounds have been revealed, including limonoids. Azadirachtin 1 is a C-seco limonoid, which was isolated as an insect antifeedant from the seeds of Azadirachta indica A. Juss in 1968.¹⁾ The highly functionalized structure of 1, along with its biological activities, attracts many chemists;²⁾ however, the total synthesis has not been achieved yet. Our synthetic strategy involves the coupling of the two fragments 2 and 3 by Claisen rearrangement, as shown in Scheme 1. In connection with our synthetic studies of azadirachtin, we reported recently the synthesis of the tricyclic compound 3²⁾ and the decalin compound 4⁴⁾ (Fig. 1).

In the previous report, ketone 4 was prepared from ethyl malonate in 31 steps in a naturally

occurring form.⁴⁶⁾ Unfortunately, reduction of 4 and its precursor 5 at C-1 afforded only the undesired β-alcohols, and it also failed to invert 1-OH of the alcohol 6. Owing to the selective introduction of oxidative functionality at C-1, we were forced to reconsider our synthetic strategy. Herein, we disclose an alternative construction of the highly functionalized decalin moiety 2 of azadirachtin 1.

Based on the plausible transition structures in the intramolecular Diels-Alder (IMDA) reaction, it was required that the functional groups at C-1 and 3 should be located at axial conformations, such as TS-1 (Fig. 2).⁵⁾ It was readily speculated that the reaction of a free 1,3-functionalized substrate would provide an undesired product *via* its flipped chair comformation, TS-2. Thus, the 1,3-diol could be fixed by some protective group.

The synthesis of the IMDA precursor was started with ethyl malonate (Scheme 2). Treatment with ethyl malonate and formalin in the presence of KHCO, afforded diol 7.9 Protection of the diol as an acetonide, followed by LiAlH, reduction, gave 9. While the selective monoprotection of the diol was unsuccessful, 9 was converted to bis-MPM ether 10 in 86% for 4 steps. The subsequent treatment of 10 with DDQ provided compound 11 in 60% yield, along with acetal 12 in 20% yield, which could be transformed to 11 in 55% yield. Oxidation of the resulting alcohol with Dess-Martin periodinane furnished 13 in 96% yield. The asymmetric allylation of 13 was achieved by exposure to (+)-DIPCl and allylMgBr to give 14,7 the optical yield of which was evaluated to be 92%ee as its MTPA ester. After silvlation of the alcohol with TMSCl and Et,N in 94% yield, an aldehyde 16 was obtained in ~100% yield by dihydroxylation with OsO4 and NMO, and the subsequent cleavage with NaIO4. The coupling of 16 with lithiofuran, derived from 17,40 proceeded smoothly in the presence of TMSCl to afford the furyl compounds. Removal of the silyl groups was effected with Bu₄NF and AcOH, leading to compound 18 as a 2:1 mixture in 87% yield for 2 steps.⁸⁾ The major product of the diol was 18-anti, which could be converted to the desired 18-syn compound by Mitsunobu inversion and hydrolysis. As a result, 16 was transformed readily to 18-syn in 76% overall yield. The resulting diol was silylated to its bis-TES ether in 85% yield, and the MPM ether

Scheme 2. a) 30% HCHO aq., KHCO3, 25 °C, 1 h; b) $(CH_3O)_2C(CH_3)_2$, PTS, acetone, 25 °C, 1 h; c) LiAlH4, Et2O, 25 °C, 1 h; d) NaH, MPMCI, DMF, 25 °C, 1 h, 86% for 4 steps; e) DDQ, $CH_2CI_2-H_2O$, 25 °C, 30 min, 11: 60%, 12: 20%, 10: 15%; f) DIBAL-H, CH_2CI_2 , 25 °C, 55%; g) Dess-Martin, CH_2CI_2 , 25 °C, 6 h, 96%; h) (+)-DIPCI, allyIMgBr, Et2O, -78 °C, 1 h, then 13, -98 °C, 1 h, then 4N NaOH, 30% H_2O_2 , THF, 25 °C, 2 h, 91% (92%ee); i) TMSCI, Et3N, CH_2CI_2 , 25 °C, 30 min, 94%; j) OSO4, NMO, THF- H_2O , 25 °C, 6 h; k) NaIO4, MeOH- H_2O , 0 °C, 15 min, ~100%; l) 17, BuLi, TMSCI, Et2O, -78 °C, 2 h; m) Bu4NF, AcOH, THF, 25 °C, 2 h, 87% for 2 steps; n) DEAD, Ph_3P , HCOOH, THF, 25 °C, 30 min; o) NaHCO3, MeOH, H_2O , 25 °C, 1.5 h, 76% for 2 steps.

was removed with DDQ, furnishing primary alcohol 20 in ~100% yield (Scheme 3). Oxidation of 20 with TPAP and NMO allowed the generation of aldehyde 21 in 91% yield. The Horner-Emmons reaction of 21 with the ylide, derived from (E)- $(EtO)_2$ POCH₂CH=CHCO₂Et and LDA, followed by detachment of the silyl groups with Bu₄NF and AcOH, afforded diol 22 as a ~12:1 mixture in 60% yield for 2 steps. Finally, the cyclic carbonate formation of the diol with $(Cl_3CO)_2$ CO and pyridine provided 23 in 92% yield. Keeping the precursor 23 in hand, we explored the IMDA reaction. Heating compound 23 at 200 °C in a sealed tube, the IMDA

Scheme 3. a) TESCI, imidazole, DMF, 25 °C, 2 h, 85%; b) DDQ, CH₂Cl₂-H₂O, 25 °C, 30 min, ~100%; c) TPAP, NMO, MS-4A, CH₂Cl₂, 25 °C, 15 min, 91%; d) LDA, (*E*)-(EtO)₂POCH₂CH=CHCO₂Et, THF, 0 °C, 1.5 h; e) Bu₄NF, AcOH, THF, 24 °C, 4 h, 60% for 2 steps; f) (Cl₃CO)₂CO, pyr, CH₂Cl₂, 25 °C, 15 min, 92%; g) PhMe, 200 °C, 60 h, BHT, sealed tube.

Table 1.

Entry	Conditions	24 a	24b	Recovery of 23
1	PhMe, 200 °C, 20 h, sealed tube	20%	trace	41%
2	PhMe, 200 °C, 40 h, sealed tube	10%	20%	35%
3	BHT, PhMe, 200 °C, 60 h, sealed tube	12%	31%	10%

reaction proceeded to give compounds 24a and 24b, along with recovery of 23 (Table 1). When the thermal treatment for 60 h in the presence of BHT was carried out, decalin compounds 24a and 24b were obtained in 12% and 31% yield, respectively (entry 3). Interestingly, no other tricyclic products could be detected in any cases. The structures of the two adducts were determined on the basis of 'H-, '3C-NMR, DOFCOSY, HSOC, HMBS, and NOESY spectra. 24b was also identified by X-ray crystallography. Both products were found to be the desired trans-decalin compounds, and each was the diastereoisomer at C-8. It should be noted that the longer reaction time increased the yield of compound 24b. It is plausible that compound 24b would be produced by the isomerization of 24a. In fact, the MM2 calculation indicated that the energy of 24b was 1.5 kcal/mol lower than that of 24a. Exclusive formation of the trans-decalin compounds in the IMDA of 23 would be attributed to the following steric effects: that is, i) the chair conformation would be preferential in the transition state in order to be fixed by the cyclic carbonate; ii) existence of a severe non-bonding interaction between the acetonide group and diene, and the A13-strain of butenolide would be reponsible for the resultant facial selectivity. Both tricyclic products could be the versatile intermediates of the further coupling reaction with the right segment of 1. The desired tricyclic intermediates of azadirachtin were thus prepared in 18 steps from ethyl malonate via a thermal intramolecular Diels-Alder reaction. Further synthetic study is now under way in our laboratory.

Acknowledgment: This work was financially supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports, and Culture, Japan (09780516, J.I.). We thank Prof. T. Inabe at Hokkaido University for permission to use X-ray diffractometer.

References and Notes

- a) Culver, J. N.; Dawson, W. O. Molec. Plant-Microbe. Interact. 1991, 4, 458. b) Van den Ackerveken, G. F. J. M.; Van Kan, J. A. L.; Dewit, P. J. G. M. M. Plant J. 1992, 2, 359. c) Broughton, H. B.; Ley, S. V.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; Williams, D. J.; Morgan, E. D. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1986, 46. d) Kraus, W.; Bokel, M.; Klenk, A.; Pöhnl, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 6435.
- a) Ley, S. V.; Denholm, A. A.; Wood, A. Nat. Prod. Rep. 1993, 109, and references cited therein. b) Nishikimi, Y.; Iimori, T.; Sodeoka, M.; Shibasaki, M. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 3354. c) Henry, K. J. Jr.; Fraser-Reid, B. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 5128. d) Watanabe, H.; Watanabe, T.; Mori, K. Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 13939. e) Watanabe, H.; Watanabe, T.; Mori, K.; Kitahara, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 4429.
- 3) Ishihara, J.; Fukuzaki, T.; Murai, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 1907.
- a) Kanoh, N.; Ishihara, J.; Murai, A. SYNLETT 1995, 895.
 b) Kanoh, N.; Ishihara, J.; Murai, A. SYNLETT 1997, 737.
- Recently, the IMDA reaction of a similar 1,2-functionalized substrate was reported: Murata, T.; Ishikawa, M.; Nishimaki, R.; Tadano, K. SYNLETT 1997, 1291.
- 6) Block, Jr., P. Org. Synth. Collect. Vol. 5, 1973, 381.
- 7) Racherla, U. S.; Brown, H. C. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 401.
- 8) The addition of TMSCI was effective in this reaction. When a similar aldehyde was treated with lithiofuran without an additive, the yield of a furyl compound was low, accompanied with a β-elimination product.